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#### Abstract

The structure has been determined by three-dimensional X-ray counter techniques. The complex crystaliizes in the hexagonal space group $P 6_{1}, Z=6, a=b=14 \cdot 685$ (2), $c=9 \cdot 313$ (1) $\AA, D_{\text {calc }}=2 \cdot 60, D_{\text {obs }}=2 \cdot 6$ $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Full-matrix least-squares treatment of 890 observed intensities gave an unweighted $R$ value of 0.033 . The linear uranyl group coordinates a single PDC unit as a tridentate equatorial ligand through nitrogen and two oxygen atoms; each $\mathrm{UO}_{2}-\mathrm{PDC}$ unit is linked through one of its carboxylic oxygen atoms to an adjacent $\mathrm{UO}_{2}-\mathrm{PDC}$ unit, thus giving rise to a polymeric structure. The water molecule is also coordinated to uranium to give coordination number 7. The polymer crystallizes with helices having the same sense linked together by hydrogen bonding between coordinated water and free $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups. The space inside the helices is unfilled and forms a tunnel along the length of the polymer.


## Introduction

The interaction of several metal ions with pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylic acid ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PDC}$ ) has been investigated by many authors. In particular the crystal structures of complexes of $\mathrm{Ag}^{2+}$ (Drew, Matthews \& Walton, 1971), $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ (Gaw, Robinson \& Walton, 1971), $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ (Palmer, Wong \& Lewis, 1972) and some lanthanide(III) ions (Albertsson, 1972) are known, as well as the structure of the $2: 1$ complex with $\mathrm{UO}_{2}^{2+}$ (Marangoni, Degetto, Graziani, Bombieri \& Forsellini, 1974).

In all the complexes referred to the PDC anion acts as a tridentate ligand by coordination of the nitrogen atom and one oxygen atom from each carboxylate group. The remaining oxygen atoms may coordinate to adjacent metal atoms to form polymeric structures as suggested previously (Cattalini, Baracco, Degetto, Marangoni, Maresca \& Sindellari, 1974).

For the title compound, the presence of only one infrared band for the antisymmetric -COO- stretching frequency ( $1615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) seemed to suggest that both carboxylate groups were in similar bonding environment. Furthermore the infrared spectrum and thermal data did not show whether or not the water molecule was coordinated to the uranium atom (Degetto, Marangoni, Baracco, Gurrieri \& Siracusa, 1974). In order to clarify the structure of the $\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2} \mathrm{PCD} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}$ compound, a single-crystal X-ray analysis has been carried out and forms the basis of this report.

## Experimental

$\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2} \mathrm{PDC} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}$ was prepared as previously reported (Cattalini et al., 1974). Crystals suitable for the X-ray study were obtained by dissolving the crude product in
hot water $\left(\sim 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and then cooling very slowly ( $\sim 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per day) to room temperature.

## Crystal data

(Pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylato)dioxouranium(VI) monohydrate: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{U}$, F.W. 453.2; pale yellow rectangular prism elongated along c. Hexagonal, $a=b=$ 14.685 (2), $c=9 \cdot 313$ (1) $\AA, V=1739 \cdot 5 \AA^{3}, D_{\text {calc }}=2 \cdot 60$, $D_{\text {obs }}=2.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (flotation), $Z=6, \mu=134 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for Mo $K \alpha(\lambda=0.7107 \AA), F(000)=1212$. Space group $P 6_{1}$ from structure determination.

Precession and Weissenberg photographs showed the crystal to be hexagonal with $\left|F_{h k l}\right| \neq\left|F_{k k l}\right|$ and systematic absence of $00 l$ reflexions for $l \neq 6 n$. Thus the possible space groups are $P 6_{1}$ or the enantiomorphic $P 6_{5}$.

For the lattice parameter determination the principal rows $h 00,0 k 0, h h 0$ and $00 l$ were considered. The angles for several strong reflexions with $15^{\circ}<\theta<25^{\circ}$ were measured by determining the centres of gravity of the peak profiles $I=f(\theta)$. The values for negative and positive $\theta$ angles were averaged and their e.s.d.'s evaluated from the root-mean-square deviation of the $\sin \theta$ values.

All intensities were collected with a Philips PW-1100 diffractometer using a graphite monochromator and Mo $K \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.7107 \AA$ ). 1092 independent reflexions with $h>0, k \geq 0, l \geq 0$ were collected between $3^{\circ}$ and $25^{\circ}$. The intensities were then corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption using the program ORABS (Busing \& Levy, 1957) on the basis of a rectangular prism of dimensions $0.087 \times 0.058 \times 0.234 \mathrm{~mm}$ with the longest edge parallel to the crystallographic $c$ axis.

The 890 reflexions with a net intensity greater than $3 \sigma(I)$ were used in the structure refinement $[\sigma(I)$ based

Table 1. Atomic coordinates and thermal parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses Temperature factor:

| $\exp \left(-1\left[B_{11} a^{* 2} h^{2}+\ldots+B_{12} a^{*} b^{*} h k+\ldots\right]\right)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{U}$ | $0.38688(5)$ | $0.45194(5)$ | $0.16674(0)$ | $*$ |
| $\mathbf{O}(1)$ | $0.3680(10)$ | $0.4027(10)$ | $0.3431(19)$ | $2.92(22)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.4059(11)$ | $0.5016(11)$ | $-0.0096(20)$ | $3.58(28)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0.2181(14)$ | $0.3088(14)$ | $0.0898(21)$ | $4.92(35)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $0.5148(10)$ | $0.6199(11)$ | $0.2494(17)$ | $2.73(24)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0.6799(12)$ | $0.7535(12)$ | $0.2734(18)$ | $3.71(29)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $0.4165(11)$ | $0.3098(10)$ | $0.0992(17)$ | $2.88(25)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $0.5192(11)$ | $0.2442(11)$ | $0.0419(16)$ | $3.10(26)$ |
| N | $0.5742(11)$ | $0.4914(10)$ | $0.1572(19)$ | $1.74(23)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.6150(16)$ | $0.6627(16)$ | $0.2389(25)$ | $2.86(36)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.6538(14)$ | $0.5907(14)$ | $0.1851(26)$ | $2.57(34)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.7592(15)$ | $0.6212(16)$ | $0.1706(30)$ | $3.13(34)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.7839(7)$ | $0.5481(18)$ | $0.1225(24)$ | $3.03(37)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.7004(16)$ | $0.4426(16)$ | $0.0908(24)$ | $2.51(33)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.6006(13)$ | $0.4222(13)$ | $0.1059(20)$ | $1.53(27)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.5062(16)$ | $0.3187(16)$ | $0.0777(24)$ | $2.63(34)$ |

* Anisotropic thermal parameters for the uranium atom:

| $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1.821(24)$ | $2.285(26)$ | $2.341(15)$ |
| $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| $2.561(46)$ | $-0.053(62)$ | $-0.366(54)$ |

on counting statistics]. The data were collected by the $\omega$ scan method (take-off angle $3^{\circ}$, scan width $1^{\circ}$, scan speed $1.8^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$, two 10 s background counts).

## Structure determination

The structure was resolved by the standard heavyatom method and refined by a full-matrix least-squares


Fig. 1. Molecular model of the repeating unit $\mathrm{UO}_{\mathbf{2}}-\mathrm{PDC} . \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{O}$.


Fig. 2. Projection of the structure along the [001] direction. A single helix is drawn with heavy lines and the surrounding helices with light lines.
procedure using unitary weight factors and minimizing $\sum w\left(\left|F_{\text {obs }}\right|-\left|F_{\text {calc }}\right|\right)^{2}$. Isotropic thermal vibration parameters were used for all atoms except uranium, which was refined anisotropically with its $z$ coordinate held constant to define the origin along the $z$ axis. Atomic factors given by Doyle \& Turner (1968) were used and the anomalous dispersion correction (Cromer \& Liberman, 1970) applied to the uranium atom with $\Delta f^{\prime}=-10 \cdot 673$ and $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}=9 \cdot 654$. The refinement with $P 6_{1}$ or $P 6_{5}$ space groups gave significantly different results: although the $R$ value was only moderately different ( 0.033 and 0.037 respectively), the resulting molecular geometry of the $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ group was considerably different in the two cases. With $P 6_{1}$ the $\mathrm{UO}_{2}$ group turns out to be linear and symmetric as expected ( $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ distances 1.76 and $1.76 \AA, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ angle $179 \cdot 8^{\circ}$ ), whereas with $P 6_{5}$ symmetry a bent dissymmetric structure
results (U-O distances 1.64 and $1 \cdot 89$, O-U-O angle $178 \cdot 1^{\circ}$ ). Thus the absolute configuration with righthanded helices can be assigned to the crystal studied. Because the starting material contained no asymmetric substances, the crystallized product would of course contain equal amounts of $P 6_{1}$ and $P 6_{5}$ crystals.

In the final difference Fourier synthesis only a random distribution of positive and negative residuals (all weaker than $0.8 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ ) was found, indicating the absence of water of crystallization. In these conditions, localization of hydrogen atoms was not attempted.

The final atomic coordinates and thermal parameters with their estimated standard deviations are listed in Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Table 2. Bond lengths and valence angles are listed in Table 3.

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors ( $10 \times$ absolute values) Columns are $h, k, l, F_{u}$ and $F_{c}$. Unobserved reflexions are marked by $<$. The phases are not listed.
 \% \% \&










 จฉย




 $\qquad$
$\qquad$






Table 3. Bond lengths ( $\AA$ ), bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) and e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ <br> $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 76$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N} \quad 1 \cdot 36$ (2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \cdot 76$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) \quad 1.39$ |  |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(3) \quad 2.42$ | $2 \cdot 42$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4) \quad 1.37$ |  |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(4) \quad 2.36$ | $2 \cdot 36$ (1) | C(4)-C(5) 1.45 |  |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(6) \quad 2.42$ | $2 \cdot 42$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6) \quad 1.35$ |  |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}\left(7^{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad 2.33$ | $2 \cdot 33$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N} \quad 1.34$ |  |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{N} \quad 2.51$ | $2 \cdot 51$ (2) | C(6)-C(7) 1.48 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \quad 1.28$ | $1 \cdot 28$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7) \quad 1 \cdot 25$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5) \quad 1.23$ | $1 \cdot 23$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(6) \quad 1 \cdot 27$ |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) \quad 1.5$ | $1 \cdot 52$ (4) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | (2) 179.8 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 119 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | (6) 73.8 (7) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 118 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{N}$ | N $62 \cdot 7$ (5) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}$ | 124 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | (4) 64.1 (6) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 117 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}\left(7^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | (71) 82-2 (7) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 120 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(7^{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | (3) 77.6 (8) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 122 (1) |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | (1) 127 (2) | $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 111 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | (5) 126 (3) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 124 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | (2) 119 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 118 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | (2) 115 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 118 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}$ | N 113 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 124 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | (3) 124 (2) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 125 (1) |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | (3) 123 (2) | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}\left(7^{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ | 150 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | (4) 118 (2) |  |  |

The codes for symmetry-related atoms are as follows:

| Symbol | Symmetry code |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| None | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| i | $x-y$ | $x$ | $\frac{1}{6}+z$ |
| ii | $y-x$ | $1-x$ | $-\frac{1}{3}+z$ |
| iii | $1-y$ | $x-y$ | $\frac{3}{3}+z$ |
| iv | $1-x$ | $1-y$ | $\frac{1}{2}+z$ |
| v | $y$ | $1-x+y$ | $-\frac{1}{6}+z$ |

All the programs used throughout the structure determination were those written by $\operatorname{Immirzi}(1967,1973)$. The calculations were performed with the Univac 1108 computer at the Politecnico di Milano.

## Description of the structure

In Fig. 1 the projection of the asymmetric unit along [001] is shown together with the labelling of the atoms.

The repeating unit consists of a linear uranyl group equatorially surrounded by five ligand atoms: two carboxylate oxygens and the nitrogen atom of one PDC ion, a bridging oxygen atom of an adjacent PDC unit, and one water molecule. The carboxylate bridging between adjacent uranyl groups leads to a polymeric structure in the form of a helix orientated parallel to the $6_{1}$ axis.
The five equatorial atoms coordinated to the uranyl group are not exactly coplanar. The deviations from the plane of best fit are significant and are characteristic of a puckered structure. The equations of several leastsquares planes, together with the deviations of the individual atoms, are shown in Table 4.
Within the $\mathrm{UO}_{2}-\mathrm{PDC}$ unit the three $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are not equal, ranging between 2.33 and $2.42 \AA$. These differences could be attributed to different negative charges on the oxygen atoms as well as to the strain of the polymeric structure. The $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ distance $2.36 \AA$, which is not affected by polymer strain compares well with the U-O distance $2 \cdot 37 \AA$ observed in oxydiacetatodioxouranium(VI) (Bombieri, Croatto, Graziani, Forsellini \& Magon, 1974): in both cases carboxylate groups are bonded to the metal with one oxygen atom only and a major negative charge can reasonably be supposed to exist on the bonded oxygen atoms. On the other hand the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(6)$ and $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right)$ distances ( 2.42 and $2.33 \AA$ ), which in principle should be very similar, may have different values because of polymeric strain. The value of the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, 2.51 (2) $\AA$, which is significantly shorter than the analogous bond in $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{4} \mathrm{As}\right)_{2}\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{PDC})_{2}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Marangoni et. al., 1974) ( $2 \cdot 73 \AA$ ), could be attributed to a different charge on the metal due to the presence of only one PDC group.
The configuration of the PDC ligand is approximately planar, but the uranium atom is displaced by -0.20 A from the best plane passing through the carbon and nitrogen atoms of the ligand. Although the carboxylate group $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ is not twisted with respect to this plane, the $\mathrm{O}(7)$ atom bonded to the adjacent uranium atom is out of the plane by $0.08 \AA$. A larger

Table 4. Least-squares planes
The equations are referred to an orthogonal reference system $x, y, z$ with $x$ and $z$ coincident with the corresponding crystal axes directions. Deviations ( $\AA$ ) of the atoms from the plane are given in square brackets.

Plane
Atoms in plane
$1 \quad \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{O}(4), \mathrm{O}(6), \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right)$
[U 0.034, $\mathrm{O}(1) 1.793, \mathrm{O}(2)-1.728, \mathrm{O}(3)-0.077, \mathrm{~N}-0.117$, $\left.\mathrm{O}(4) 0.054, \mathrm{O}(5)-0.256, \mathrm{O}(6) 0 \cdot 124, \mathrm{O}(7)-0.008, \mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right) 0.017\right]$
$2 \quad \mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(6), \mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{N}$ [U $-0.202, \mathrm{O}(3)-0.622$, N $0.024, \mathrm{O}(4) 0.038$, O(5) 0.031 , $\mathrm{O}(6)-0.014, \mathrm{O}(7) 0.082, \mathrm{C}(1)-0.003, \mathrm{C}(2)-0.010, \mathrm{C}(3)-0.006$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(4),-0.002, \mathrm{C}(5) 0.017, \mathrm{C}(6)-0.017, \mathrm{C}(7)-0.006, \mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right)-0.465\right]$
3
$3 \quad \mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(4), \mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(6), \mathrm{C}(7), \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}(4), \mathrm{O}(6)$
$[\mathrm{U}-0.211, \mathrm{O}(3)-0.629, \mathrm{~N} 0.018, \mathrm{O}(4) 0.021, \mathrm{O}(5) 0.014$, $\mathrm{O}(6)-0.012, \mathrm{O}(7) 0.094, \mathrm{C}(1)-0.012, \mathrm{C}(2)-0.017, \mathrm{C}(3)-0.010$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(4) 0.002, \mathrm{C}(5) 0.024, \mathrm{C}(6)-0.014, \mathrm{C}(7)-0.001, \mathrm{O}\left(7^{\mathrm{i}}\right)-0.486\right]$
Interplanar angles $\angle_{12}=8.7, \angle_{13}=8 \cdot 8, \angle_{23}=0.3^{\circ}$.
displacement is present for the $\mathrm{O}\left(7^{1}\right)$ atom ( $0.47 \AA$ ). The last two features may be attributed to the strain imposed by the conformation of the polymeric chain.

## Molecular packing

The structure is characterized by a pattern of helices all with the same sense with a van der Waals diameter of about $21 \AA$. Each helix is surrounded (see Fig. 2) by six other helices (as required by the space-group symmetry) with an axis-to-axis distance of $a=14.68 \AA$; thus the polymeric chains are partly interpenetrating. A short intermolecular distance of $2.61 \AA$ is present between the water oxygen $\mathrm{O}(3)$ and the free carboxylate oxygen atom $\mathrm{O}\left(5^{\text {ii }}\right)$ belonging to an adjacent chain. This distance and the suitable angles on $\mathrm{O}(3)$ and $\mathrm{O}(5)$ suggest the presence of a hydrogen bond which limits the interpenetration of the helices. As a result the latter have a large hollow screw shape. In projection along the helical axis the complete structure has a honeycomb appearance which in three dimensions produces a matrix of wide empty tunnels of van der Waals diameter about $8 \AA$ (see Fig. 3). Along the $z$ direction there are close contacts (see Table 5) between PDC groups of adjacent helices.

Similar large cavities were encountered in the rareearth carboxylate complexes (Albertsson, 1972; Han-

Table 5. Selected intra- and intermolecular contacts ( $\AA$ ) less than $3 \cdot 65 \AA$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses
(a) Intramolecular

| $\mathrm{N} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{O}(4)$ | 2.59 (3) | $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | 2.98 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathrm{O}(6)$ | $2 \cdot 57$ (2) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 09$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2 \cdot 91$ (3) |  |  |
| (b) Intermolecular |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(5^{11}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 61$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(6^{\text {iv }}\right.$ ) | $3 \cdot 44$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(4^{\text {III }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 61$ (3) | $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(2^{\text {iv }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 21$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 7 \mathrm{l} \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(5^{\text {j1i }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 59$ (3) | $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(6^{\text {iv }}\right.$ ) | $3 \cdot 41$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(5^{\text {iii }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 53$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(3^{v}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 62$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {LV }}\right.$ ) | $3 \cdot 08$ (3) | $\mathrm{O}(4) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(4^{*}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 34$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\text {iv }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 62$ (3) | $\left.\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C} 5^{5}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 35$ (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {iv }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 26$ (3) | $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 41$ (3) |

son, 1972), but generally they are filled by solvent water or cations. In the present case, the final difference synthesis failed to reveal any appreciable residue that could be attributed to disordered water molecules in the tunnel. Also in the thermogravimetric analysis of the compound only one water molecule per uranium atom was detected.
The observation of only one asymmetric stretching vibration at $1615 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the two different $-\mathrm{COO}-$ groups could be explained by hydrogen bonding to the non bridging -COO- group. The frequency is thus


Fig. 3. Projection of the structure normal to the $z$ direction and rotated by $+20^{\circ}$ with respect to the $x$ direction.
lowered with respect to that found for free carboxylate groups such as in monomeric $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}(\mathrm{PDC}) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right.$ ] ( $\mathrm{L}=$ PyNO, DMSO) (Degetto et al., 1974) and becomes approximately equal to the value for the bridging carboxylate group.
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#### Abstract

 $13 \cdot 411 \AA, \beta=99 \cdot 74^{\circ}$, and $Z=4$. The structure has been determined by a direct method, and refined by least-squares calculations to $R=0.051$ for the 2027 observed reflexions. The $P_{3} N_{3}$ ring adopts a slight sofa conformation, with one P $0.15 \AA$ out of the mean plane of the other five atoms. The bond formed between this P and the trans Cl is 2.068 (2) $\AA$, while the two cis $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds are 2.034 (2) and 2.049 (2) $\AA$. The exocyclic $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ bonds are of equal lengths, $1 \cdot 623 \pm 0.002 \AA$. For the PNP segment between the two cis Cl atoms, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}=1.568 \pm 0.002 \AA$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}=121.6$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$, while the corresponding values for the other two segments are $1 \cdot 576 \pm 0 \cdot 005 \AA$ and $120 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 3^{\circ}$, respectively. The endocyclic N-P-N are 118.9, $119 \cdot 4$ and $118 \cdot 6$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$. The exocyclic $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ are 104.9, $104 \cdot 2$ and $105.7(2)^{\circ}$, and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ are $113 \cdot 2,114 \cdot 1$ and $114 \cdot 3$ (5) ${ }^{\circ}$.


## Introduction

The wide involvement of phosphorus compounds in living processes and industrial applications is a direct result of the high capacity of phosphorus for covalent bond formation (Corbridge, 1974). The crystal structures of some of these compounds provide the essential background to the understanding of their properties and behaviour. The present series examines the effect of conformation on the bonding mechanism in the three isomers of $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{3}\left[\mathrm{~N}_{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$. In parts I and II Ahmed \& Pollard ( $1972 a, b$ ) described the structures of the geminal and cis nongeminal isomers; this part is concerned with the structure of the trans nongeminal isomer.

[^0]Suitable crystals for this study were prepared, with difficulty, by Professors R. A. Shaw and R. Keat. The crystals are transparent and colourless flat prisms, with the longest dimension parallel to $b$ and the largest face corresponding to the 100 planes. Only thin crystals were found to be single.

## Experimental

## Crystal data

trans Nongeminal $\mathrm{Cl}_{3}\left[\mathrm{~N}_{( }\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$; M.W. 373.53; monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / a ; a=18.118$ (4), $b=7.054$ (2), $c=$ 13.411 (4) $\AA, \quad \beta=99.74(2)^{\circ}, \quad V=1689 \cdot 28 \quad \AA^{3} ; \quad Z=4$, $D_{x}=1 \cdot 468, D_{m}=1.467 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3} ; \mu(\mathrm{Cu})=76.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $\mu(\mathrm{Mo})=8.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

The X-ray measurements were carried out on an automatic Picker diffractometer (Gabe, Alexander \&


[^0]:    * Issued as NRCC No. 14447.

